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## Introduction

It is enough that the people know there was an election. The people who cast the votes decide nothing, the people who count the votes decide everything.

Josef Stalin

# If we are to bring computerization into our electoral processes, then we must do it in such a way as to [...] prevent concentration of power into the hands of the few who control the process. 

Josh Benaloh, Verifiable Secret-Ballot Elections

PhD Thesis, Yale University, 1987

## E-Voting Research at BFH

## E-Voting Research Group

- Founded in 2007 by Eric Dubuis and Rolf Haenni
- Authors of $>20$ peer-reviewed research papers
- PC members of E-VOTE, VoteID, EVoteID, Voting, CeDEM conferences
- Conference chairs of VotelD'15 in Bern
- Swiss E-Voting Workshop 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014
- Supervision of multiple PhD theses
https://e-voting.bfh.ch


## Research Projects

- FIDIS: Future of Identity in Information Society (2006-2009)
- SwissVote: Secure E-Voting in Switzerland (2009-2012)
- VIVO: Verifiable Internet Voting (2012-2015)
- UniVote: Secure E-Voting in Switzerland (2013-2017)
- UniBoard: Specification and Development of a Public Bulletin Board for Online Elections (2014-2017)
- CHVote: Cryptographic System Specification of the Geneva E-Voting System (since 2016)
- Verification Software for the Swiss Post E-Voting Solution (since 2017)


## Swiss Context

## Direct Democracy in Switzerland

- Up to four election days per year
- Elections
- Mandatory referendums
- Optional referendums ( $>50 \mathrm{k}$ signatures)
- Popular initiatives ( $>100 \mathrm{k}$ signatures)
- Four different political levels
- Federal
- Cantonal
- Municipal
- Pastoral
- Up to 10 different election topics per election day
- Voters are not necessarily eligible on all four levels, e.g. citizens living abroad can only vote on federal/cantonal level


## E-Voting Tradition in Switzerland

- Classical voting channels
- Polling station
- Landsgemeinde
> Postal voting (since 1994, approx. 90\%)
> Non-verifiable "blackbox" e-voting systems (1st generation)
- Canton of Geneva (since 2003)
- Canton of Zürich (Unisys, 2004-2015)
- Canton of Neuchâtel (Scytl, 2005-2015)
$>$ Collaborations with 10 other cantons (since 2009)
- Target audience: Swiss citizens living abroad


## Landsgemeinde



## Legal Ordinance on Electronic Voting

- Enhanced security requirements
$\Rightarrow$ End-to-end encryption
- End-to-end verifiability (cast-as-intended, recorded-as-cast, counted-as-recorded)
- Distribution of trust (shared decryption key, mix-net)
- Effective since December 2013



## Stepwise Introduction

- Current systems
- max. 10/30\% of federal/cantonal electorate
- Two-step expansion
- Step 1: max. 30/50\% of federal/cantonal electorate
- Step 2: $100 \%$ electorate
- There are two competing 2nd generation projects
- Swiss Post (Scytl):
- Step 1 reached in 2017
- Step 2 planned in 2019
- Canton of Geneva (CHVote)
- Step 2 planned in 2019


## Verifiable Elections

## Traditional Paper-Based Voting
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## Traditional Paper-Based Voting



## Remote Electronic Voting (Blackbox)



## Remote Electronic Voting (Blackbox)



## Verifiability

# The introduction of verifiability is central to the new security requirements. 

3rd Vote Electronique Report<br>Swiss Federal Council, 2013

## Individual Verifiability

Voters must be able to ascertain whether their vote has been manipulated or intercepted on the user platform or during transmission. [...] Voters must receive proof that the server system has registered the vote as it was entered by the voter on the user platform.

Federal Chancellery Ordinance on Electronic Voting
VEleS, Art.4, 2013

## Universal Verifiability

Auditors receive proof that the result has been ascertained correctly. They must evaluate the proof in a observable procedure. To do this, they must use technical aids that are independent of and isolated from the rest of the system.

Federal Chancellery Ordinance on Electronic Voting VEleS, Art.5, 2013

## Verifiable Remote Electronic Voting



## Verifiable Remote Electronic Voting



## Bulletin Board



Voting panel, Swiss National Council, Bern, Switzerland (srf.ch)

## Bulletin Board



List of eligible voters, Erbil, Iraq (nzz.ch)

## Verification Software



## Verification Software



## CHVote Voting Protocol in Geneva

## Desirable Security Properties

- Privacy
- Vote secrecy (everlasting?)
- Participation secrecy
- Receipt-freeness
- Correctness
- Votes from ineligible voters are not counted
- Eligible voters can vote at most once
- All valid from eligible voters votes are counted
- E2E Verifiability
> Individual (cast-as-intended, recorded-as-cast)
- Universal (counted-as-recorded)
- Fairness: nobody learns partial election results during election
- Coercion-Resistance


## CHVote Project in Geneva

- Project goals
- New implementation from scratch
- Reach second expansion stage in one step ( $100 \%$ electorate)
- Developed, hosted, operated entirely by the State of Geneva
- Strategy
- Collaboration with academia
- State-of-the-art technologies
- Maximal transparency
- High-quality open documentation
- Open-source license (Affero GPL)
- Invitation to public code reviewing
https://eprint.iacr.org/2017/325.pdf


## Cast-as-Intended Verification

- Prior to an election, a code sheet with different verification codes for each voting option is generated for every voter
- Code sheets are sent to voters by postal mail

| Code Sheet | Nr.291 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Candidates | Codes |
| Asterix | 74494 |
| Obelix | 84443 |
| Idefix | 91123 |
| Miraculix | 63382 |
| Majestix | 85921 |
| Verleihnix | 79174 |


| Code Sheet | Nr. 321 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Candidates | Codes |
| Asterix | 21344 |
| Obelix | 29173 |
| Idefix | 91123 |
| Miraculix | 72282 |
| Majestix | 18194 |
| Verleihnix | 53382 |

## Cast-as-Intended Verification

- After submitting a vote, corresponding verification codes are displayed

- Matching codes imply that the vote has been cast as intended
- Otherwise, voters are instructed to vote by postal mail

| Liste de codes pour la carte $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ 5874-8863-1400-8743 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Votation fédérale |  |  |  |
| Question 1 <br> Acceptez-vous l'arrêté fédéral du 20 juin 2013 portant règlement du financement et de l'aménagement de l'infrastructure ferroviaire (Contreprojet direct à l'initiative populaire "Pour les transports publics", qui a été retirée) ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oui } \\ \text { A2B4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { J5B9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blanc } \\ & \text { Z8H5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Question 2 <br> Acceptez-vous l'initiative populaire "Financer l'avortement est une affaire privée - Alléger l'assurance-maladie en radiant les coûts de l'interruption de grossesse de l'assurance de base" ? | Oui P8H3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non } \\ & \text { X2A7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blanc } \\ & \text { Q3L7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Votation cantonale |  |  |  |
| Question 1 <br> Acceptez-vous l'initiative 143 «Pour une véritable politique d'accueil de la Petite enfance" ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oui } \\ \text { U6T4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non } \\ \text { P3D6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blanc } \\ & \text { S6C2 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Question 2 <br> Acceptez-vous la loi constitutionnelle modifiant la constitution de la République et canton de Genève (Contreprojet à I'IN 143) (A 200 10895), du 15 décembre 2011? | Oui <br> N4F2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non } \\ \text { M2A3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blanc } \\ & \text { Q9L5 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Question 3 <br> Question subsidiaire: Si l'initiative (IN 143 «Pour une véritable politique d'accueil de la Petite enfance») et le contreprojet sont acceptés, lequel des deux a-t-il votre préférence ? Initiative 143 ? Contreprojet? | $\begin{gathered} \text { IN } \\ \text { K9W9 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CP } \\ \text { T3S6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blanc } \\ & \text { Y2V4 } \end{aligned}$ |



## Conclusion

## Conclusion

- Verifiability is central to making e-voting secure
- Various cryptographic protocols exist in scientific literature to achieve major security properties
- The process of introducing e-voting in Switzerland is slow, but on the right track (legal ordinance VEleS)
- Challenges and open problems
- Complexity of cryptographic protocols
- Cryptography in web browser (JavaScript)
- Vote secrecy on insecure platform
- Vote buying and coercion
- Everlasting privacy
- Usability and "voter education"

